AGENDA SECTION 608 SIGN TASK FORCE SEPTEMBER 12, 2017

AGENDA ITEM 2 APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

JUNE 6, 2017

DRAFT	
	CITY OF LEBANON SECTION 608 SIGN TASK FORCE Minutes, June 6, 2017 City Hall—Council Chambers 5:30 p.m.
MEMBERS PRESENT:	Clifton Below, Mark Bradley, Stan Brown (alternate), Brian Clancy, Curt Jacques, Joan Monroe, Lindamae Peck (alternate), Robert Welsch
MEMBERS ABSENT:	Gregorio Amaro (alternate), Megan Chapman (alternate), Daaron Mathews, Mark Pageau, Amelia Sereen, Mandy Spencer, Candy Swift (alternate), Rob Taylor
STAFF PRESENT:	Planning & Zoning Director David Brooks, Zoning Administrator Tim Corwin
Stan Brown moved to appr Sign Task Force as written *The motion passed unaning	ove the minutes of the May 23, 2017 meeting of the Section 608 a. Seconded by Brian Clancy. mously.
Force; he said there may not discussion, and things have let be a consensus to allow el	s had requested a summary of the direction provided by the Task have been a lot of consensus, but there has been a lot of good been brought up that he had not thought about. He said there seems ectronic message boards. He said staff will use the discussion to some possible alternative options.
(Ms. Monroe arrived at 5:43	p.m.)
III. DISCUSSION ITER	MS
C. Calculating S	Sign Area
Mr. Corwin reviewed the sig	an area allowed for each property. What area should be included as
	would exclude traffic-oriented markers and directional/informationang Board. Mr. Jacques gave the example of a hand surgeon whose

sign frame is a giant hand. He said that is part of the sign because it reflects the service provided. Mr. Corwin said they could say that the support structure is part of the sign. Mr. Welsch said some parts of a sign's structure could block visibility. Mr. Jacques suggested that the structure could be no more than 30% of the sign. Ms. Monroe said if the structure is part of the design, it is part of the sign. Ms. Peck said structures that include plantings could be excluded, as they contribute to beautification.

A. Non-Conforming Signs

Mr. Corwin said there are many grandfathered signs in Lebanon. He said it is not clear if the law treats grandfathered signs the same way as it treats grandfathered uses. Regardless of change of ownership, if the use changes, the sign must conform to current regulations. They can still prohibit off-premises signs. If a sign no longer relates to the use, it could lose grandfathering. He said he will confirm whether a sign that falls down can be replaced and maintain grandfathering and whether a blank sign can still be used later and maintain its grandfathered status. Mr. Below suggested that the term "discontinued" rather than "abandoned" could be used for a certain length of time. Perhaps the length could be nine months for a seasonal business.

Ms. Peck asked if there is precedent for offering financial incentives for signs to become compliant. Mr. Brooks said he has never heard of that, and he personally would rather see his tax dollars used in other ways. Mr. Corwin asked if a new business should be allowed the same non-conforming sign. Mr. Jacques and Mr. Bradley said they should have to conform to current regulations. What if an entire building is painted a color identified with that business? Is the entire building a sign? Is that a distraction and safety hazard? There was discussion about the relationship among architecture, art, graphics, and signs and the issue of regulating architectural design. Task Force members agreed that there should be a time limit, so all non-conforming signs need to be made conforming within a certain number of years. Mr. Jacques suggested that if a business keeps a non-conforming sign, they should have to pay for the privilege, because that sign has actual value to the business.

D. Regulations for Specific Uses

Are there specific uses that should be allowed more signage? Should recreational fields be allowed an unlimited number of sponsorship signs? Convenience stores/gas stations have a lot of signs. Mr. Jacques said there should be some restrictions on the size and appearance of signs at recreational fields. Banners can become tattered over time, so he suggested a permit fee and a time limit.

B. Historic District Considerations

 Mr. Corwin said it seems to him that the historic district is worthy of special consideration, especially regarding electronic message boards. Now, the rules are the same as anywhere else. Mr. Jacques said the signs on poles on the green (promoting Lebanon) need to be considered. Mr. Brooks said it would be too bad if signs on South Park Street turn into aluminum, backlit signs. Mr. Clancy said context is important. There could be special regulations for the historic district and downtown West Lebanon. Ms. Peck said there could be heritage-sensitive areas. Mr.

Welsch mentioned historic plaques. Mr. Jacques expressed the hope that the City would make 1 2 gateway signs special as an act of good faith. 3 E. Wrap-up/Next Steps 4 5 Mr. Corwin said he plans a survey. He said members should email him with any additional 6 7 comments, questions, or observations about signs. Photos are great. The Task Force will 8 reconvene, probably in August. Mr. Jacques said Task Force members could do "homework" as needed, and he offered to make sure the survey is distributed to Chamber of Commerce 9 members. Mr. Clancy suggested that Mr. Corwin circulate a draft survey to Task Force members 10 first for comment. 11 12 IV. 13 **OTHER BUSINESS:** None 14 15 Ш. **ADJOURNMENT** 16 The meeting was adjourned at 7:26 p.m. 17 18 19 Respectfully submitted, 20 21 22 Charles Glazer **Recording Secretary** 23